

1 MARIO N. ALIOTO, ESQ. (56433)
2 LAUREN C. RUSSELL, ESQ. (241151)
3 TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP
4 2280 Union Street
5 San Francisco, CA 94123
6 Telephone: (415) 563-7200
7 Facsimile: (415) 346-0679

8
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ANDREA RESNICK, ET AL.) Case No. C 09-cv-0002 PJH
12 Plaintiff,)
13 vs.)
14 WALMART.COM USA LLC, ET AL.,)
15 Defendants.)
16)
17 **This document relates to:**) **DECLARATION OF LAUREN C.**
18 ADRIENNE BELAI, ET AL.) **RUSSELL IN SUPPORT OF**
19 Plaintiff,) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**
20 vs.) **CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD**
21 WALMART.COM USA LLC, ET AL.,) **BE RELATED**
22 Defendants)
23 Case No. C:09-cv-1740-EMC)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

1 I, Lauren C. Russell, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an associate in the firm Trump, Alioto, Trump & Prescott, LLP and am a
3 member in good standing of the State Bar of California. This Declaration is based on personal
4 knowledge, except where specified that information is based on information and belief, and if
5 called to testify, I could and would do so competently as to the matters set forth herein. I am
6 counsel for Plaintiff Adrienne Belai in *Belai v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case No. 3:09-
7 cv-01740 EMC. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiff Adrienne Belai's
8 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related.

9 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a complaint captioned
10 *Adrienne Belai v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al.*, Case No. C 09-cv-01740 EMC, which was
11 filed on April 21, 2009 in the Northern District of California and assigned to the Honorable
12 Edward M. Chen. The *Belai* action is a proposed class action on behalf of paid subscribers to
13 Netflix.

14 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of this Court's Order Re
15 Centralization of Related Cases in MDL No. 2029, executed by Judge Hamilton on April 30,
16 2009.

17 4. After reviewing the complaints listed in the *Administrative Motion to Consider*
18 *Whether to Relate Cases*, it appears that these cases and the *Belai* case assert substantially
19 similar claims for violations of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 2, against many of the
20 same defendants based on many of the same factual allegations.

21 5. Ms. Belai has not appeared in this or any of the related actions currently pending
22 in the Northern District of California. Civil Local Rule 3-12 requires that an Administrative
23 Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related be promptly filed. Accordingly, and as
24 the Defendants are in the process of being served, a stipulation pursuant to Local Rule 7-11(a)
25 could not be obtained prior to filing Plaintiff's Administrative Motion.

26 //

27 //

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing
2 is true and correct. Executed this 11th day of May, 2009 at San Francisco, California.
3
4

5 /s/ Lauren C. Russell
6 Lauren C. Russell
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28